1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DICORTOR OF NEW TERCEY
3	Civil Action No. 08-2071 RECEIVED
4	JAN 0 8 2009
- 5	In Regard to the Matter of: JOEL SCHNEIDER U.S. Magistrate Judge
6	Bayside State Prison OPINION/REPORT Litigation OF THE
7	SPECIAL MASTER COREY CHANEY
8	- "V S -
9	WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al,
10	Defendants.
11	
12	
13	
14	* * *
15	
16	FRIDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2008
17	* * *
18	
19	
20	DESCRETARION NO DECENTARION NO CHER
21	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Transcript of proceedings in the above matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified
6	Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and
7	Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
8	United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza,
9	Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 1:05 PM.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.
19	Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing
20	251 South White Horse Pike
21	Audubon, New Jersey 08106
22	856-546-1100
23	
24	
25	

1																					
2	A	P	P	E	A	R	A	N	C	E	s	:									
3																					
4							LI									TT T		7			
5							MAI - a	and	d ·	_									T F.	_	
6				1	3 3	7	CHA STA	AT!	E I	ΙI	ЗH	WA	Y	3	3						c 0 0
7				б	09	- 5	86	- 2:	25'	7										UB	690
8				А	1, ,1,	OR.	NE	¥S	Ť. (ЭK	.1.	нь		DE.	P E	NL	AI	N.T.	5		
9				_									_	_				- ~			
10				₿.	Υ:	į		3 T	ΙN	T		LO	Ų							RE	
11				C.	AΜ	DE:	ARI N,	ΝI	EW	JΙ				0	81	0 2	?				
12							68 NE				Т	ΗE	;	PL.	ΙA	ΓN	ŢΙ	F F :	S		
13																					
14																					
15																					
16																					
17																					
18																					
19																					
20																					
21																					
22																					
23																					
24																					

Ģ

JUDGE BISSELL: I'm now reopening proceedings in the case of Corey Chaney, docket number 08-2071.

This opinion/report is being issued pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference to a Special Master and the Special Master's Agreement and the guiding principles of law which underlie this decision to be applied to the facts upon which it is based as set forth in the jury instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to the extent applicable to the allegations of Mr. Chaney.

As finalized after review under Local Civil Rule 52.1, this transcript will constitute the written report required under paragraph seven of the Order of Reference to a Special Master.

Mr. Chaney was housed at the Farm. He claims he was housed there for several weeks, virtually throughout the duration of the lockdown. He also claims in his testimony that at night on the third shift into his barracks repeatedly three to four times per week a SOG unit would enter and proceed to beat the inmates, including himself and, indeed, almost everyone in the barracks as he described it about the neck, back, legs and so forth

every week. And that the third shift housing 1 officers proceeded to ignore this. 2 One excerpt of testimony describing his 3 alleged assaults or the assaults upon him occurred in 4 the course of Mr. Griegel's cross-examination. 5 By Mr. Griegel beginning at line 21 on 6 7 page 125. It's not a lengthy passage, I'm going to read it into the record here. 8 Question: Now, if I told you that the 9 murder of Officer Baker was on July 30th, 1997, do 10 11 you have a recollection of that? Does that sound 12 right? 13 Answer: Yes. 14 Question: Okay. Now, you testified 15 that sometimes the SOG officers would come into your 16 barracks, correct? 17 Answer: Yes. 18 Ouestion: That would be three to four 19 times a week, correct? 20 Answer: During the week, yes. 21 Question: So if the lockdown -- how 22 long was the lockdown portion? 23 Answer: Movements started around 24 September that I remember. Question: So that lockdown would be at 25

```
least four weeks, correct?
 1
 2
                    Answer: Yes, at least four weeks.
                    Question: And you recall the SOG being
 3
     there at least four weeks?
 4
 5
                    Answer: Yes.
 6
                    Question: Okay. So three to four
 7
     times a week, then, you would have had 12 to 16
     midnight visits or middle of the night visits from
 8
 9
     SOG; is that correct?
10
                    Answer: Yes.
11
                    Question: Each of those times they
12
     spent approximately 15 or so minutes going around
13
     these open spaces and beating up each of the inmates,
14
     correct?
15
                    Answer: Yes.
16
                    Question: Randomly and
17
     indiscriminately they were beating people?
1.8
                   Answer: Yes.
19
                   Question: With their sticks?
20
                   Answer: Yes.
21
                   Question: And you were beaten -- of
22
     those 12 to 16 beatings on how many occasions were
23
     you beaten, 12 to 16?
24
                   Answer: Every time they came in.
25
                   Question: So about 12 to 16 times?
```

Answer: Yes, you can say.

where the testimony has been more credible to reach conclusions based upon appropriate inferences from the records generated in those cases that SOG officers and SOG units did go to the Farm where they had little reason to be, surely more often than required to be down there. And I think as I've described in at least one other case they engaged in something in the nature of recreation on their behalf by harassing and in some cases assaulting inmates housed on the Farm; once again, probably (among other things) out of a knowledge that reporting of those incidents would be less likely from these people in full minimum, many of them on the verge of release from custody or release at least to a halfway house.

But Mr. Chaney's description totally defies credibility. That somehow or other one or more of these SOG units would come in on a nightly basis during the four weeks of the lockdown and precipitate these beatings, ignored by the third shift housing officers with all they had at stake, is inherently incredible.

But, if that were not enough, the contemporaneous records reflecting the housing of Mr.

Chaney on the Farm revealed that he was only at the Farm for a total of four days during the lockup from August 11th to August 15th before he was then transferred to Ancora. D-293 is among the exhibits that demonstrates that. So this, of course, means he wasn't even there when supposedly these repeated beating events occurred.

Now, Mr. Chaney denies the accuracy of this record, but there is little else to support that it was inaccurate. I'm not saying that all records are accurate at all times whether in this institution or at any other institution, but records regarding prisoner movement and prisoner presence in one or more of the units are extremely important. They involve counts, they involve questions of who is AWOL or anything else. And so under all the circumstances there is nothing that impeaches the documentary evidence that Mr. Chaney, other than from the days of August 11 through August 15th, 1997, wasn't even on the Farm in the barracks.

Finally, although not every item of evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report, all evidence presented to the Special Master was reviewed and considered.

For the reasons set forth above I

recommend in this report that the district court enter an order and judgment of no cause for action with regard to Corey Chaney. б

CERTIFICATE

I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R. Notary Public, State of New Jersey My Commission Expires May 5, 2010 Certificate No. XIO857 Date: January 2, 2009